2006-Present:

- Computational And Applied Mathematics, Rice University
- Advisor: Dr. William Symes

2002-2006:

- BS in Information and Computational Science
- Nanjing University, China



Interval velocity estimation via NMO-based Differential Semblance

Chao Wang

Advisor: Dr. William Symes CAAM, Rice University

TRIP Annual Meeting 2008



- Classical Semblance is equivalent to least squares data fitting and has local maxima.
- All stationary points of Differential Semblance are global minimizers.
- A recent approach to Differential Semblance has some numerical problems. I proposed an alternative approach to overcome these difficulties.



The acoustic wave equation where density is considered constant and equal to one, with a point source:

$$\frac{1}{c^2(x)}\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2}(x,t;x_s) - \nabla^2 p(x,t;x_s) = f(t)\delta(x-x_s)$$

x is the position vector x_s is the position of the point source f(t) is the source time function c(x) is the particle velocity $p(x, t; x_s)$ is the pressure



Forward map: $S[c] = p|_{Y=(x_r,t;x_s)}$ (predicted seismic data) x_r is the receiver position and x_s is the source position.

Inverse problem: given observed seismic data d, find velocity field c so that

$$S[c] \simeq d$$

The inverse problem is large scale and nonlinear.



Linearization

Write
$$c = v(1 + r)$$
, then $\delta p(x, t; x_s)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{v^2(x)}\frac{\partial^2 \delta p}{\partial t^2}(x,t,x_s) - \nabla^2 \delta p(x,t,x_s) = \frac{2r(x)}{v^2(x)}\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2}(x,t,x_s)$$

Linearized forward map: $F[v]r = \delta p|_{Y=(x_r,t;x_s)}$

- v smooth, r oscillatory ⇒ F[v]r approximates primary reflections
- Error consists of multiple reflections.
- No mathematical results are known which justify these observations in any rigorous way.



(Theoretical derivation by Winslow 2000, based on linearization and high frequency approximation)

$$F[v]r(t,h) = f(t) *_t r(T_0(t,h))$$

h is the half offset

 t_0 is the traveltime at zero offset f(t) is the source time function $r(t_0) = \frac{\delta v(t_0)}{v(t_0)}$ $T_0(t,h)$ is a change of variables function. It is the inverse function of $T(t_0,h)$ (Hyperbolic approximation to two-way traveltime) Ideal case: $f(t) = \delta(t)$. Then

$$F[v]r(t,h) = r(T_0(t,h))$$



Classical Semblance is equivalent to least squares data fitting

Turn the linearized inverse problem into a least squares problem: given CMP data d, find v, r so that

min
$$J[v, r] = ||F[v]r - d||^2$$

$$= \int \int dt \, dh \, (r(T_0(t,h)) - d(t,h))^2$$

= $||d||^2 + \int \int dt_0 \, dh \, \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_0}(t_0,h) \times (r(t_0)^2 - 2r(t_0)d(T(t_0,h),h))$
= $||d||^2 + \int dt_0 \, j(t_0)r(t_0)^2 - 2 \int dt_0 \, r(t_0) \int dh \, \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_0}(t_0,h) \times d(T(t_0,h),h)$



Then

$$J[v, r] = || d ||^{2} + \langle jr, r \rangle - 2 \langle r, Sd \rangle,$$

where Sd is the weighted stacking

$$Sd[v](t_0) = \int dh \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_0}(t_0, h) d(T(t_0, h), h),$$

and

$$j[v](t_0) = \int dh \ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_0}(t_0, h).$$

Since Sd, j only depend on v, then if v is fixed, we can get the optimal $r = \frac{1}{i}Sd$

$$\min J[v, r] = \|d\|^2 - \langle \frac{1}{j}Sd, Sd \rangle$$
$$\iff \max J_S[v] = \langle \frac{1}{j}Sd, Sd \rangle$$

Then the classical semblance turns out to be equivalent to the least squares data fitting.



Differential Semblance

Introduce nonphysical model $r(t_0, h)$. Physical model satisfies constraint $\frac{\partial r}{\partial h} = 0$.

$$\min J[v, r] = \int \int dt \ dh \ (r(T_0(t, h), h) - d(t, h))^2 \\ = \int \int dt_0 \ dh \ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t_0}(t_0, h)(r(t_0, h) - d(T(t_0, h), h))^2$$

The objective function is very easy to minimize without constraint: $r(t_0, h) = d(T(t_0, h), h)$. Then the model is infeasible since $\left\|\frac{\partial r}{\partial h}\right\|^2 > 0$. To reduce the infeasibility: $\min_{v} \left\|\frac{\partial r}{\partial h}\right\|^2$ Differential Semblance objective function is

$$J_{DS}[v] = \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial h} d(T(t_0, h), h) \right\|^2$$



Comparison between Classical Semblance and Differential Semblance

(a) Classical Semblance (Chauris, 2001):



Figure: Classical Semblance cost function



(b) Differential Semblance (Chauris, 2001):



Figure: Differential Semblance cost function



Motivation for DS

• DS

- All stationary points of DS are global minimizers. (Symes, TR99-09)
- DS uses gradient method to solve the optimization problem.

OLS

- Output Least squares objective function has local minima and these local minimizers are far from any global minimizer.
- Gradient methods are unreliable.
- Computational cost for global optimization methods is high.



A recent approach to DS (Jintan Li, 2007)

• Objective function:

$$J[v] = \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial h} d(T(t_0, h), h) \right\|^2$$

• *J*[*v*] and *∇J*[*v*] have to be computed numerically. But grid points in the *t*₀ axis are not mapped to grid points in the *t* axis.

$$d(t,h) \rightarrow d(T(t_0,h),h)$$

• Local cubic interpolation is needed to compute the oscillatory data *d* which will cause error.



$$\begin{split} t_{0j} &= j\Delta t_0, \ h_i = i\Delta h, \\ & d(T(t_{0j}, h_i), h_i) \simeq d^{int}(T(t_{0j}, h_i), h_i) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial h} d(T(t_{0j}, h_i), h_i) \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta h} (d^{int}(T(t_{0j}, h_{i+1}), h_{i+1}) - d^{int}(T(t_{0j}, h_i), h_i)) \\ & \text{Define the discrete moveout derivative operator:} \end{split}$$

$$M[v]d(t_{0j},h_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta h}(d^{int}(T(t_{0j},h_{i+1}),h_{i+1}) - d^{int}(T(t_{0j},h_i),h_i))$$

Thus the discrete objective function

$$J[v] = \sum_{ij} |M[v]d(t_{0j}, h_i)|^2$$





Figure: Original CDP



Figure: Corrected CDP





Figure: Instability of DSVA velocity estimates



Alternative approach to DS

$$J[v] = \left\| \left(p \frac{\partial d}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial d}{\partial h} \right)(t,h) \right\|^2$$

where slowness

$$p(t,h) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial h}(T_0(t,h),h)$$

This approach involves interpolation of smooth function p(t, h) instead of oscillatory data d(t, h), then the interpolation error in p is smaller than the previous approach. Then the noises in J and ∇J are smaller. Thus this optimization is more stable.



Recall

$$J[v] = \left\| \left(p \frac{\partial d}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial d}{\partial h} \right)(t,h) \right\|^2$$

Since *t* is oversampled, we don't have problem in computing $\frac{\partial d}{\partial t}$. Since offset *h* is often undersampled, $\frac{\partial d}{\partial h}$ has to be calculated carefully. How to deal with $\frac{\partial d}{\partial h}$?



Proposed strategy

If
$$v_0 - \Delta v \le v \le v_0 + \Delta v$$
, then
 $p \frac{\partial d}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial d}{\partial h} \simeq N[v_0]d + (p - p_0)\frac{\partial d}{\partial t}$

where operator N has been defined by

$$N[v]d(t_j,h_i) = M[v]d(T_0(t_j,h_i),h_i)$$

Then

$$(p\frac{\partial d}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial d}{\partial h})(t_j,h_i)\simeq N[v_0]d(t_j,h_i)+(p-p_0)\frac{\partial d}{\partial t}(t_j,h_i)$$

This will be accurate if $f_{max} \leq f(\Delta v)$



Summary:

- CS vs. DS
- Recent approach vs. my approach

Future works:

- Justify the proposed strategy.
- Implement the algorithm



Thank you

